DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 472 946 EF 006 204

AUTHOR Prill, R.; Blake, D.; Hales, D.

TITLE School Indoor Air Quality Assessment and Program

Implementation.

PUB DATE 2002-00-00

NOTE 7p.

AVAILABLE FROM For full text: http://www.energy.wsu.edu/buildings/

files/IAQ/NW School IAQ pgm.pdf.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Air Pollution; Educational Facilities Improvement; Hazardous

Materials; *Indoor Air Pollution; *Inspection; Program

Implementation; *School Buildings; School Safety

IDENTIFIERS Idaho; Washington

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the effectiveness of a three-step indoor air quality (IAQ) program implemented by 156 schools in the states of Washington and Idaho during the 2000-2001 school year. An experienced IAQ/building science specialist conducted walk-through assessments at each school. These assessments documented deficiencies and served as an on-site training opportunity for the schools' facilities and administration staffs. Schools used the assessment findings, along with guidance from the specialist, to adopt indoor air quality practices and procedures from a "menu" of options. At least 22 options were selected by all schools, with most schools adopting more, up to as many as 58. The findings from the assessments and the specific options selected were compiled into a database to be used by school officials and agencies. A survey of schools confirmed the program's usefulness. (EV)



SCHOOL INDOOR AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAM **IMPLEMENTATION**

R Prill¹*, D Blake² and D Hales¹

¹Washington State University, Spokane, WA, USA

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. Prill

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

ABSTRACT

156 schools in the states of Washington and Idaho adopted individualized indoor air quality (IAO) programs during the 2000-2001 school year. An experienced IAO/building science specialist conducted walk-through assessments at each school. These assessments documented deficiencies and served as an on-site training opportunity for the school's facilities and administration staff. Schools used the assessment findings, along with guidance from the specialist, to adopt indoor air quality practices and procedures from a "menu" of options. At least 22 options were selected by all schools, with most schools adopting more - up to as many as 58. The findings from the assessments and the specific options selected were compiled into a database to be used by school officials and agencies. A survey of schools was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the program and usefulness of the specific options.

INDEX TERMS

School Indoor Air Quality, Classrooms, Portable Classrooms, Indoor Air Program, School Ventilation

INTRODUCTION

Problems associated with the indoor environmental conditions existing in US schools have been documented (Daisey and Angell, 1998) (U.S.GAO, 1995a, 1995b, and 1996). One of the goals of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has long been the implementation of the EPA Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) Tools for Schools (TFS) Action Kit (U.S. EPA, 2000) in every school in the United States. Despite the excellent resource materials provided in the TFS Action Kit, most northwest schools have been reluctant to take meaningful action. The Washington State University Energy Program and the Northwest Air Pollution Authority collaborated to create and deliver a streamlined approach to implementing the TFS Action Kit, using a "3-Step IAQ Program" for schools in the states of Washington and Idaho. The "3-Step IAQ Program" was designed to be easy to understand and use, and result in schools taking 3 action steps: 1) assign responsibility for IAQ; 2) assess the IAQ in their buildings; and 3) adopt good practice IAQ policies and procedures.

Historically, the authors have found that school officials are often reluctant to allow their facilities to undergo IAQ assessment, for fear that the process or findings may create concern among staff members and/or lead to further demands on limited staff time and budgets. However, when approached with the "3-Step IAQ Program", 156 schools

Full text available at: http://www.energy.wsu.edu/buildings/ files/IAQ/NW School_IAQ_pgm.pdf

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

originating it.



²Northwest Air Pollution Authority, Mount Vernon, WA, USA

^{*} prillr@energy.wsu.edu

readily agreed to participate and ultimately created their own individualized IAQ Programs, while only 2 declined the opportunity. This "3-Step IAQ Program" approach may prove useful in other IAQ implementation efforts in schools around the country.

METHODOLOGY

Early in the 2000-2001 school year, the first of 156 schools to complete the "3 Step IAQ Program" were easily recruited for the program, as they had previously attended IAQ workshops conducted by the authors, or had contacted the authors for assistance on IAQ issues. The remaining schools were recruited using these first schools as references. A majority of schools in 7 counties in the northeast corner of Washington participated due largely to coordination efforts of the risk manager for Educational Service District #101.

Each school selected an IAQ Coordinator (Step 1). Generally, the facility manager accepted this role, unless another individual had a particular interest in IAQ issues. Ideally, the school IAQ walk-through assessments (Step 2) began with a brief orientation meeting with the school principal and the IAQ team. The assessment team often included the facility manager, mechanical system technician, custodial supervisor, school custodian, nurse, and others interested in gaining an understanding of IAQ fundamentals and use of IAQ monitoring equipment. Local health officials and regional EPA staff were invited to participate in the assessments and gain IAQ field experience.

Assessments generally consisted of a half-day inspection of the school, led by an experienced IAQ/building science specialist. The teachers and other school staff were notified in advance that each occupied classroom would be visited briefly by the assessment team, and were encouraged to discuss concerns or problems related to IAQ or comfort. During the walk-through virtually all occupied classrooms and offices were visited. Obvious or potential indoor air pollutants, adequacy of outside air ventilation, mechanical systems, building and finish details, and operation and maintenance procedures were noted. Outside the building, the air intakes were checked for adequate distance from sources of potential air pollutants and inspected for debris, moisture, and filtration. The exterior was also assessed for potential pollutant sources, roof and site drainage, and the location of combustion equipment flues, exhaust vents, and plumbing vent stacks. Storage areas, crawl spaces and tunnels were checked. In addition to deficiencies, the team was also careful to note and emphasize existing conditions, as well as operation and maintenance procedures that represented good practice. The team used basic measurement equipment: carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide analyzers, digital temperature and relative humidity meter; tracer smoke tubes and micro-manometers; air flow capture hood; surface and pin-type moisture meters; and a real-time particle counter. The researchers believe this equipment is adequate to identify the majority of IAQ deficiencies generally found in schools. Step 2 walk-through assessment results are presented in Table 1.



Table 1. Results of walk-through assessments in 156 schools.

Number of classrooms ^T (3,801 visited)	Parameter	Percent of classrooms (3801 visited)
1,616	carbon dioxide greater than 1,000 ppm	42.5
Number of portable classrooms (180 visited)	Parameter	Percent of portable classrooms (180 visited)
119 46 18	carbon dioxide greater than 1,000 ppm ventilation systems turned off no mechanical ventilation system	66 25.5 10
Number of buildings ² (156 Total)	Parameter	Percent of schools (156 Total)
57	at least one classroom with CO ₂ greater than 2,000 ppm	36.5 %
10	at least one classroom with CO ₂ greater than 3,000 ppm	6.4 %
4	at least one classroom with CO ₂ greater than 4,000 ppm	2.5 %
19	no classrooms with CO ₂ greater than 1,000 ppm	12.2 %
131	carpet in the classroom	84 %
79	carpet in the hallways	50.6 %
105	faulty exhaust fans	67.3 %
15	photocopiers vented to outdoors	9.6 %
10	laminators vented to outdoors	6.4 %
103	custodial chemical metering system	66 %
39	wood and/or metal shop	25 %
9	faulty shop exhaust	23.1%
85	water-stained ceiling tiles	54.5%
72	animals in at least one classroom	46.2%
132	schools with combustion equipment	84.6%
7	schools with carbon monoxide alarms ²	5.3 % 3

Because the walk-through served as a unique and valuable training opportunity, the team wanted to use measurement equipment that was readily available, relatively inexpensive, and would likely be used by school personnel in the future. To the extent possible, the



¹The 118 portable classrooms are included in the 3,801 total classroom count.
²The 118 portables are not counted as separate buildings.
³ Percent of schools with combustion equipment having carbon monoxide alarms

walk-through equipment was also made available for loan to participating school districts to check IAQ conditions and mechanical system operation after recommended changes were completed.

To accomplish Step 3, each school adopted an individualized IAQ program to address current deficiencies and to help prevent IAQ problems from occurring in the future. The authors believe that by assisting schools to adopt an IAQ program tailored to their individual needs, these custom programs will more likely be "institutionalized" by the school, and are more likely to have an enduring and positive impact on the IAQ of the school. The researchers provided each school with a detailed report of the walk-through findings and a prioritized list of suggested corrections for existing IAQ deficiencies and/or improvements to operation and maintenance practices. School personnel used the findings and recommendations to select the most appropriate options, or elements, for their school's IAQ Program.

Schools selected IAQ Program elements from a list ("menu") of suggested options or elements organized into 8 categories: policy and guidance, source controls, ventilation, operation & maintenance, classroom management, curriculum & student involvement, documentation, and communications & awareness. Each of the categories provides 8 to 10 suggested options, and 5 to 7 fill-in-the-blank spaces, to allow the schools to adopt some self-selected elements not on the list. All of the schools completed this step.

Each school's custom IAQ program was entered into a database that will provide insight into which of the program elements were most often selected, and those specific elements rarely or never selected. Upon creation and adoption of their custom IAQ Program, each school was presented with a full color certificate recognizing their participation in the program and for "adopting best practices for improved IAQ." Prominent display of the certificate is expected to motivate the school to maintain, expand, and refine their IAQ program over time.

RESULTS AND IMPLICATIONS

The success of the 3-Step Program is evidenced by the number of schools completing the program (all 156 schools), and by the wide range of schools participating (districts with 1 building up to large districts with 20 buildings, Mean = 5). None of the schools expressed difficulty in selecting and assigning the IAQ coordinator to complete Step 1. For the majority of schools, the coordinator was an individual, generally the facility director, lead maintenance mechanic, or the building operator (often the head custodian). A few schools used a team approach such as their safety committee as the "coordinator".

The Step 2 walk-through assessments clearly show the following:

 A significant number of schools have ventilation deficiencies and exhaust fan failures. 42% of the classrooms visited had CO₂ levels above 1,000 ppm, and 67 % of the schools had exhaust fans that were not functioning properly.



- The schools also had 180 portable classrooms, with 66% of these portable classrooms having CO₂ levels above 1,000 ppm. Ventilation systems in 46 portables were turned off, and 18 portables had no mechanical ventilation system.
- Carpets are widely used in the schools and office equipment is rarely vented to the exterior.
- Animals were present in classrooms in a majority of the schools.
- Only 4% of schools with combustion equipment had carbon monoxide (CO) alarms installed.

All of the schools completed the Program's Step 3 by selecting policies, guidance, and operation and maintenance practices from a list or "menu" of 80 options. The minimum number of options selected was 24, with most of the schools adopting up to 58 options to create their IAQ program. Some schools identified and adopted elements that were not on the list.

The authors conducted a follow-up telephone phone survey allowing the IAQ coordinators to evaluate the relative success of the 3 Step program. IAQ coordinators (representing 94 % of the schools) were successfully contacted by telephone and asked to respond to 10 questions regarding the 3 Step program with the following subjective ratings: "Don't Know"; Strongly Disagree"; "Disagree"; "Agree"; "Strongly Agree".

Responses to the 10 question survey revealed that:

- All of IAQ coordinators "agreed" or "strongly agreed" that the 3 Step Program was useful in identifying important indoor air quality issues in their buildings; helped staff more fully understand IAQ in their buildings; and will help prevent IAQ problems from occurring in their buildings.
- 90% of IAQ coordinators also "agreed" or "strongly agreed" that it was useful to have an "outside expert" conduct the IAQ assessment and assist with launching their IAQ program; and the school district would not have completed a thorough IAQ assessment nor established an IAQ program without competent, and relatively unbiased assistance.
- 70 % "agreed" or "strongly agreed, that IAQ is one of the major challenges facing their school district; and IAQ problems are preventable with a reasonable amount of effort and financial investment.
- 70 % of the coordinators "agreed" and "strongly agreed" that their schools had already responded to the highest priority recommendations developed from the assessments;

CONCLUSIONS

The findings from walk-through assessments in 156 schools indicates a significant number of schools in the northwest have inadequate ventilation, faulty mechanical equipment, and do not have carbon monoxide alarms in zones with combustion equipment. Potential asthma triggers such as animals in classrooms, un-vented equipment, and wet building materials (which can lead to mold) also exist in many of these schools.



The successful recruitment and subsequent full participation in this program by the 156 schools was a function of the 3-Step IAQ Program design: it was perceived as easy to understand, practical and action-oriented, non-regulatory, and included a relatively unbiased and qualified expert providing on-site guidance, training, and resources.

The majority of IAQ coordinators "agreed" or "strongly agreed" that their schools had already responded to the highest priority recommendations developed from the walk-through assessments; and "strongly agreed" that they would recommend the 3 Step IAQ Program to other school districts.

All participating schools adopted a school-specific IAQ program by adopting at least 24 IAQ program elements. The database of walk-through assessment findings and specific IAQ program elements adopted by these northwest schools may be useful when compared to similar data collected in other regions of the U.S.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the school districts and facilities staff for their cooperation and participation in this program. Support was provided by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 10, and the Northwest Air Pollution Authority.

REFERENCES

- Daisey J, and Angell W. 1998. A Survey and Critical Review of the Literature on Indoor Air Quality, Ventilation and Health Symptoms in Schools, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Environmental Energy Technologies Division. LBNL-41517.
- U.S. EPA, 1995. Indoor Air Quality Tools For Schools Action Kit. EPA document number 402-K-95-001.
- U.S. GAO, 1995a. School Facilities: Condition of America's Schools, US General Accounting Office, Washington, D.C. GAO, GAO/HEHS-95-61.
- U.S. GAO, 1995b. School Facilities: Profile of School Conditions by State, US General Accounting Office, Washington, D.C. GAO, GAO/HEHS-96-146.
- U.S. GAO, 1996. School Facilities: America/s Schools Report Differing Conditions, US General Accounting Office, Washington, D.C. GAO, GAO/HEHS-96-103.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:		
Title: School Indoor Air Qu	ality Assessment and Program In	nplementation
Author(s): Prill, R.; Blake, D.;	Hales, D.	
Corporate Source: Washington St	Energy Program	Publication Date:
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resource electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Documelease is granted, one of the following notices is a	imely and significant materials of interest to the education (RIE), are usually made available the temperature of the document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to fixed to the document.	o users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and the source of each document, and, if reproduction
of the page. The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
- Sample	Sample	Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Level 2B Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
If permission to r	nents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality per eproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be process. Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive production from the ERIC microfiche or electronic metals.	sed at Level 1.

its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other

service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Sign here, 🕏 please

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

	tributor:					-
Address:					·	
Price:						
	• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		• ••	•	
the right to ar	ant this reproduction release is	hold by saw				_
the right to gr ddress: ———————— Name:	rant this reproduction release is	s held by someone	e other than the add	ressee, please	e provide the appropriate	name and
dress: 	rant this reproduction release is	s held by someone	e other than the add	ressee, please	e provide the appropriate	name and
Name:	rant this reproduction release is	s held by someone	e other than the add	ressee, please	e provide the appropriate	name and
dress: 	rant this reproduction release is	s held by someone	e other than the add	ressee, please	e provide the appropriate	name and
Name:	rant this reproduction release is	s held by someone	e other than the add	ressee, please	e provide the appropriate	name and

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

National Clearinghouse for Educational Facilities

National Institute of Building Sciences

1090 Vermont Ave., NW #700 Washington, DC 20005-4905

or fax to 202-289-1092

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706

> Telephone: 301-552-4200 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-552-4700 e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

> e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfacility.org

